UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,250	05/20/2004	William H. Vong	003797.00998	7417
	7590 09/26/200 /ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
ATTORNEYS	FOR CLIENT NOS. 0	SAX, STEVEN PAUL		
1100 13th STR SUITE 1200	EEI, N.W.	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			2174	
			·	***************************************
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-us Occurrence	10/849,250	VONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven P. Sax	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	•			
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	,				
·					
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r cleation requirement				
are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
·					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔛 Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>see attached</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/849,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. This application is a continuation of S.N. 09/749445, filed 12/28/2000, now U.S. Patent 6,917,373.
- 3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 4. Claims 15-22, 36-46, and 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 15-22, 40-50, and 51-54 respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,917,373. This is a double patenting rejection. The claims are essentially identical.
- 5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct

Application/Control Number: 10/849,250

Art Unit: 2174

from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-14, 23-35 and 47-49 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-57 of U.S. Patent No. 6,917,373. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because note the correspondence below:

present application

'373 patent

Claim 1

Claim 1 with the features of claims 36 and 38 added - 36 brings in the contexts, and 38 brings in the action initiation which changes the functionality.

_			_
<i>(</i> ''	aı	m	ر. ،
\sim	a i	111	_

Claim 1 with the features of claims 36 and 38 – same reasoning as for claim 1 of the present application, for either button.

Claim 3

Claim 3

Claim 4

Claim 4

Claim 5

Claim 5

Claim 6

Claim 6

Claim 7

Claim 7

Claim 8

Claim 8

Claim 9

Claim 9

Claim 10

Claim 10

Claim 11

Claim 11

Application/Control Number: 10/849,250

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 12 Claim 12

Claim 13 Claim 13

Claim 14 Claim 14

Claim 38, note again the context and the action

initiation changing the functionality.

Claim 24 Claim 24

Claim 25 Claim 25

Claim 26 Claim 26

Claim 27 Claim 27

Claim 28 Claim 28

Claim 29 Claim 29

Claim 30 Claim 30

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 31	Claim 31
Claim 32	Claim 32
Claim 33	Claim 33
Claim 34	Claim 34
Claim 35	Claim 35
Claim 47	Claim 40 with the features of claim 55 added.
Claim 48	Claim 40 with the features of claim 56 added.
Claim 49	Claim 40 with the features of claim 57 added.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P. Sax whose telephone number is (571) 272-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system: Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEVEN SAX PRIMARY EXAMINER